

803-625-3291

803-625-5000

803-625-2187

12

N/A

Estill High 2502011

	PERFORMANCE	TRENDS	OVER 4	-YEAR	PERIOD
--	-------------	--------	--------	-------	--------

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Below Average	N/A
2002	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003 2004	Unsatisfactory	Average	N/A

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM								
		Our Schoo	ı	Hig Stud	h Schools w lents Like O	Schools with nts Like Ours		
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003		
Passed all 3 subtests	45.5	39.7	29.3	51.3	48.2	46.5		
Passed 2 subtests	27.3	33.3	25.3	22.2	23.3	22.8		
Passed 1 subtest	14.3	12.7	29.3	15.2	15.9	15.6		
Passed no subtests	13.0	14.3	16.0	11.3	12.6	14.5		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003			Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate			
11101	n	%	n	%	n	%			
All Students	66	89.4	82	1.2	93	74.2			
Gender	0.4	22.2	0.4	2.0	20	20.0			
Male	24	83.3	31	0.0	36	63.9			
Female	42	92.9	51	2.0	57	80.7			
Race or Ethnic Group									
African American	66	89.4	82	1.2	93	74.2			
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A			
White	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A			
Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A			
Disability Status									
Non-speech disabilities	N/A	N/A	9	0.0	8	75.0			
Students without disabilities	66	89.4	73	1.4	85	74.1			
Migrant Status									
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A			
Non-migrant	20	90.0	82	1.2	0	N/A			
English Proficiency									
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A			
Non-LEP	66	89.4	82	1.2	93	74.2			
Lunch Status									
Subsidized meals	48	89.6	78	0.0	68	94.1			
Full-pay meals	18	88.9	4	I/S	25	20.0			
n = number of students on which per	centage is calc	ulated							

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	1.2	2.5
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	1.2	3.4
Seniors who met the grade point average	30.5	32.1

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Estill High 2502011

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 520)				
Retention rate Attendance rate	0.8% 94.2%	Down from 8.8% Down from 94.9%	7.7% 95.3%	7.3% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	1.3% 18.2%	Down from 1.9% Down from 20.3%	1.9% 16.1%	5.1% 12.2%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	20.8% 1.0%	Up from 18.0% Down from 2.0%	16.4% 1.3%	10.1% 2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	4.4% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.2% N/A
Annual dropout rate	1.1%	Down from 3.9%	2.3%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	No change	3.8%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology cente courses	r 297	Up from 288	266	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	47.3%	Up from 3.4%	20.8%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	50.3%	Down from 66.6%	68.3%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	95.0%	Down from 100.0%	98.4%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 35)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	28.6% 77.1%	Down from 30.6% Up from 69.4%	46.1% 78.4%	51.7% 81.8%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A 77.7%	N/A Down from 80.7%	N/A 80.2%	N/A 85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.9% \$39,544	Down from 96.5% Up 2.5%	94.9% \$39,790	95.8% \$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	11.4 days	Up from 7.2 days	11.4 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio	0.5 22.2 to 1	Down from 1.0 Down from 24.7 to 1	2.0 20.5 to 1	3.0 26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	86.5% \$6,968	Down from 92.0% N/A	87.5% \$7,977	90.1% \$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	0.0% Poor	N/A Down from Good	56.7% Good	57.8% Excellent
Parents attending conferences	84.7%	Down from 89.5%	85.2%	87.8%
SACS accreditation * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	yes	N/A	yes	yes

orted.	are repor	ita a	dat	Itinancial	ted	audi	year	* Prior
orted.	are repor	ita a	dat	Itinancial	ted	audi	year	* Prior

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

Estill High 2502011

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Estill High School, with its pride and traditions, serves as a focal point of our community. Several changes have occurred at our school over the past several years that have had an impact on the school's leadership, student achievement, school climate, curriculum and instruction, and planning for our students' future. In the last six years there have been many changes in the school and district leadership. These changes have had a tremendous impact on the school's beliefs and mission, student achievement, and planning for improvement. While change is a reality of life, we are committed to continuing our efforts toward having a school of quality and excellence.

We have implemented a number of programs to ensure that all students have the opportunity to become all that they can be. These opportunities include an award winning speech and debate program, a revitalized student government association, and participation in the Clemson University Emerging Scholars Program. We have implemented computer assisted instruction programs, after-school programs, career-life portfolios, benchmark testing, and school-wide curriculum guides in math, science, and English. In addition, our Army JROTC is rated as one of the top 4% in the world.

We believe that our mission is to ensure that all students reach their potential by providing challenging educational experiences. We are working together with parents and the community to instill character and pride in self, school, and community. We believe that in order to fulfill our mission we must include teachers, parents, students, and members of the community. The challenges facing our school and community are great, but with a dedicated faculty and staff, the commitment of the students, and the support of the community, we will continue to strive to meet the needs of our students.

Archie Franchini Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
	Teachers	Students	Parents					
Number of surveys returned	36	45	10					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	52.8%	38.6%	50.0%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	75.0%	40.9%	I/S					
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	31.4%	72.7%	40.0%					

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.